

**MEETING**

**EAST AREA PLANNING SUB-COMMITTEE**

**DATE AND TIME**

**TUESDAY 4 JUNE, 2013**

**AT 7.00 PM**

**VENUE**

**HENDON TOWN HALL, THE BURROUGHS, NW4 4BG**

**TO: MEMBERS OF EAST AREA PLANNING SUB-COMMITTEE (Quorum 3)**

Chairman: Councillor Andreas Tambourides  
Vice Chairman: Councillor Bridget Perry

**Councillors**

|                  |                   |                    |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Alison Cornelius | Alan Schneiderman | Joanna Tambourides |
| Arjun Mittra     | Stephen Sowerby   | Jim Tierney        |
| Barry Rawlings   | Andrew Strongolou |                    |

**Substitute Members**

|                      |                 |                |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Richard Cornelius    | David Longstaff | Lisa Rutter    |
| Rowan Quigley Turner | Kath McGuirk    | Brian Salinger |
| Pauline Coakley Webb | Alison Moore    |                |
| Anne Hutton          | Robert Rams     |                |

**You are requested to attend the above meeting for which an agenda is attached.**

**Andrew Nathan – Head of Governance**

Governance Services contact: Maria Lugangira 020 8359 2761

Media Relations contact: Sue Cocker 020 8359 7039

**ASSURANCE GROUP**

## ORDER OF BUSINESS

| Item No | Title of Report   | Pages  |
|---------|---|--------|
| 1.      | Minutes   |        |
| 2.      | Absence of Members  |        |
| 3.      | Disclosable Pecuniary interests and Non Pecuniary interests                     |        |
| 4.      | Public Question Time (if any)   |        |
| 5.      | Members' Items (if any)   |        |
| 6.      | Report of the Acting Assistant Director of Planning and Development Management; |        |
|         | <b>Coppetts Ward</b>  |        |
| 7.      | 9 Goodwyns Vale, London, N10 2HA - B/00360/13                                   | 1 - 8  |
|         | <b>East Finchley Ward</b>   |        |
| 8.      | 54-60 Trinity Road, London, N2 8JJ - F/01032/13                                 | 9 - 16 |
| 9.      | Any item(s) the Chairman decides is urgent                                      |        |

### FACILITIES FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

Hendon Town Hall has access for wheelchair users including lifts and toilets. If you wish to let us know in advance that you will be attending the meeting, please telephone Maria Lulangira 020 8359 2761 maria.lulangira@barnet.gov.uk. People with hearing difficulties who have a text phone, may telephone our minicom number on 020 8203 8942. All of our Committee Rooms also have induction loops.

### FIRE/EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

If the fire alarm sounds continuously, or if you are instructed to do so, you must leave the building by the nearest available exit. You will be directed to the nearest exit by uniformed custodians. It is vital you follow their instructions.

You should proceed calmly; do not run and do not use the lifts.

Do not stop to collect personal belongings

Once you are outside, please do not wait immediately next to the building, but move some distance away and await further instructions.

Do not re-enter the building until told to do so.

**LOCATION:** 9 Goodwyns Vale, London, N10 2HA

**REFERENCE:** B/00360/13      **Received:** 29 January 2013  
**Accepted:** 05 February 2013

**WARD(S):** Coppetts      **Expiry:** 02 April 2013

**AGENDA ITEM 7**

**Final  
Revisions:**

**APPLICANT:** Mr Renjit Natt

**PROPOSAL:** Enlargement of existing rear dormer window.

**RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: Site Plan, 3009/02A and 3009/01B.

Reason:

For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning and so as to ensure that the development is carried out fully in accordance with the plans as assessed in accordance with policies DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2012) and CS NPPF and CS1 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy DPD (2012).

2. This development must be begun within three years from the date of this permission.

Reason:

To comply with Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004.

3. The materials to be used in the external surfaces of the building(s) shall match those used in the existing building(s).

Reason:

To safeguard the visual amenities of the building and surrounding area in accordance with policies DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2012), CS NPPF and CS1 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy DPD (2012).

**INFORMATIVE(S):**

1. The reasons for this grant of planning permission or other planning related decision are as follows: -

- i) The proposed development accords with strategic planning guidance and policies as set out in The Mayor's London Plan: July 2011 and the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy (2012) and Development Management Policies DPD (2012).

In particular the following policies are relevant: Design Guidance Note No.5 on Household Extensions.

Core Strategy (Adopted) 2012: Relevant policies: CS NPPF, CS1 and CS5

Development Management Policies (Adopted) 2012: Relevant Policies: DM01 and DM02

ii) The proposal is acceptable for the following reason(s): - Having taken all material considerations into account, it is considered that subject to compliance with the attached conditions, this proposal complies with the Adopted Barnet Local Plan policies and guidance and would be in keeping with the character and appearance of the surrounding area. It is not considered to have a detrimental impact on the residential amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

iii) In accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the NPPF, the Council takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals, focused on solutions. The Local Planning Authority has produced planning policies and written guidance to guide applicants when submitting applications. These are all available on the Council's website. A pre-application advice service is also offered. The Local Planning Authority has negotiated with the applicant/ agent where necessary during the application process to ensure that the proposed development is in accordance with the Council's relevant policies and guidance.

## **1. MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

### National Planning Policy Framework

The determination of planning applications is made mindful of Central Government advice and the Local Plan for the area. It is recognised that Local Planning Authorities must determine applications in accordance with the statutory Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise, and that the planning system does not exist to protect the private interests of one person against another.

The 'National Planning Policy Framework' (NPPF) was published on 27 March 2012. This is a key part of the Governments reforms to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, and to promote sustainable growth.

The London Plan is recognised in the NPPF as part of the development plan.

The NPPF states that "good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people."

NPPF retains presumption in favour of sustainable development. This applies unless any adverse impacts of a development would "significantly and demonstrably" outweigh the benefits.

### The Mayor's London Plan July 2011:

The London Development Plan is the overall strategic plan for London, and it sets out a fully integrated economic, environmental, transport and social framework for the development of the capital to 2031. It forms part of the development plan for Greater London.

The London Plan provides a unified framework for strategies that are designed to ensure that all Londoners benefit from sustainable improvements to their quality of life.

#### Relevant Local Plan (2012) Policies:

Barnet's Local Plan is made up of a suite of documents including the Core Strategy and Development Management Policies Development Plan Documents (DPD). Both DPDs were adopted on 11th September 2012

Relevant Core Strategy DPD (2012): Policies CS NPPF, CS1, CS5.

Relevant Development Management DPD (2012): Policies DM01, DM02.

#### Supplementary Planning Documents and Guidance

Residential Design Guidance SPD Adopted 18 April 2013

The Residential Design Guidance SPD sits beneath and implements Local Plan policies in the Core Strategy and the Development Management Policies documents, setting out borough-wide requirements and best practice planning guidance. The SPD will be used as a material consideration and help inform decision making by the planning service and planning committees.

The SPD provides a clear and consistent message on how we manage change within Barnet's suburbs. The SPD also consolidates and updates the existing framework for residential design which mainly focused on improvements to the existing housing stock (Design Guidance Notes on Extensions, Conversions, Porches, and Hardstandings and Vehicular Crossovers).

The Residential Design Guidance SPD provides more detailed and more locally relevant residential design guidance on issues such as local character, density, built form, car parking and amenity space standards connected with new build development. Through these changes the SPD sets out the local priorities for protecting and enhancing Barnet's character. It provides a local reference point to the suite of national guidance on good design.

The Residential Design Guidance SPD is aimed at all those involved in the planning, design and development of new housing. It will help architects and developers to formulate design proposals which are appropriate to Barnet's suburban context. This SPD applies to all residential development in Barnet, regardless of tenure. Consideration should be given to the application at these standards alongside delivery of core strategy objectives. Viability and the need to ensure an appropriate level of housing supply in changing economic circumstances should also be considered. However a long term view is necessary as the homes and living environments we build today will frame the lives of those who live in new homes or use the neighbourhoods now and in the future.

## Relevant Planning History:

**Site history for current landparcel :  
101158 - 9 Goodwyns Vale, London, N10 2HA  
Case Reference: B/00360/13**

|                     |                               |                      |             |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| <b>Application:</b> | Planning                      | <b>Number:</b>       | B/00358/11  |
| <b>Validated:</b>   | 15/02/2011                    | <b>Type:</b>         | HSE         |
| <b>Status:</b>      | DEC                           | <b>Date:</b>         | 11/04/2011  |
| <b>Summary:</b>     | APC                           | <b>Case Officer:</b> | Mary Kearns |
| <b>Description:</b> | Single storey rear extension. |                      |             |

|                     |   |                      |             |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| <b>Application:</b> | Planning  | <b>Number:</b>       | B/02277/12  |
| <b>Validated:</b>   |   | <b>Type:</b>         | 192         |
| <b>Status:</b>      | UNA   | <b>Date:</b>         |             |
| <b>Summary:</b>     | INV   | <b>Case Officer:</b> | Adam Ralton |
| <b>Description:</b> | Roof extension involving rear dormer window to main roof and side dormer window to rear projection. |                      |             |

## Consultations and Views Expressed:

|                             |    |          |   |
|-----------------------------|----|----------|---|
| Neighbours Consulted:       | 11 | Replies: | 5 |
| Neighbours Wishing To Speak | 0  |          |   |

## Summary of Objections

- Proposal is out of character with the area and is unsightly
- Poorly drawn plans
- Loss of light
- Overlooking
- Visually obtrusive, out of character and poorly designed

The application has been amended and neighbouring residents have been reconsulted on the amended plans. Three further objections have been received, reiterating the comments summarised above. In addition it is stated that the use of the existing property as flats is out of character with the street.

## **2. PLANNING APPRAISAL**

### Site Description and Surroundings:

The application site is a two storey terraced dwellinghouse located on the north west side of Goodwyns Vale, a predominantly residential road that lies in the ward of Coppetts and is on the borough boundary with Haringey.

### Proposal:

The applicant wishes to increase the size of the existing rear dormer window. The existing rear dormer window is 2.35m wide and protrudes from the plane of the roof by 2.8m. The proposed rear dormer window would be increased to a width of 4.6m and would be 2m high. It would protrude from the plane of the roof by 2.8m.

## Planning Considerations:

The main issue in this case are considered to be covered under two main areas:

- The living conditions of neighbouring residents;
- Whether harm would be caused to the character and appearance of the area and street scene, having regard to the size and siting of the proposal.

The Council's approach to extensions as set out in Policy DM01 is to minimise their impact on the local environment and to ensure that occupiers of new developments as well as neighbouring occupiers enjoy a high standard of amenity.

Policy DM01 of the Development Management Policies (Adopted) 2012 states that all development should represent high quality design and should be designed to allow for adequate daylight, sunlight, privacy and outlook for adjoining occupiers.

Policy DM02 states that where appropriate, development will be expected to demonstrate compliance to minimum amenity standards and that development makes a positive contribution to the borough. The development standards set out in Policy DM02: Development Standards are regarded as key for Barnet to deliver the highest standards of urban design.

The Council's SPD 'Residential Design Guidance' states that extensions should normally be subordinate to the original house, respect the original building and should not be overly dominant.

It further advises that extensions should normally be consistent in regard to the form, scale and architectural style of the original building which can be achieved through respecting the proportions of the existing house and using an appropriate roof form.

The originally proposed roof extension above the existing rear extension has now been removed from this application following negotiations with the applicant and the plans have been amended accordingly.

The existing dormer window is barely visible from the rear of the property due to its height and the design of the existing property in that parts of the existing building, block direct views of it. Whilst the proposed roof extension would be larger than that suggested in design guidance it is nevertheless considered that given the limited visibility of the proposal, due to the width and depth of the existing two storey rear addition, and the presence of large roof extensions at Nos 3, 5 and 7 Goodwyns Vale, the proposal would not be out of character. Its limited visibility would significantly reduce its impact and it would not result in any loss of light to neighbouring properties such as to warrant refusal.

It would be some 31m from the rear of properties in Sydney Road and as such would not result in overlooking and loss of privacy to these properties. Given the presence of the existing dormer it is not considered that the proposal would affect the amenities of adjoining neighbours to such an extent as to warrant refusal.

It is therefore considered that the current proposal is acceptable in planning terms.

It would have an acceptable impact on the character and appearance of the streetscene, site property, general locality and the residential amenity of neighbouring occupiers.

### **3. COMMENTS ON GROUNDS OF OBJECTIONS**

The proposal is not out of character with the area and neighbouring properties as many properties along Goodwyns Vale have already benefitted from large rear dormer windows.

Instances of overlooking should not be an issue as the proposal is for the increase of an already existing rear dormer window. The rear dormer window is at least 31m away from the rear of properties along Sydney Road which would minimise any instances of overlooking.

The submitted drawings are sufficient to provide the basis of a planning application and are clear enough to be able to determine the application.

### **4. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY ISSUES**

The proposals do not conflict with either Barnet Council's Equalities Policy or the commitments set in our Equality Scheme and supports the council in meeting its statutory equality responsibilities.

### **5. CONCLUSION**

Having taken all material considerations into account, it is considered that subject to compliance with the attached conditions, this proposal complies with the Adopted Barnet Local Plan policies and guidance and would be in keeping with the character and appearance of the surrounding area. It is not considered to have a detrimental impact on the residential amenities of neighbouring occupiers. This application is therefore recommended for **APPROVAL**.



**SITE LOCATION PLAN: 9 Goodwyns Vale, London, N10 2HA**

**REFERENCE: B/00360/13**



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number LA100017674.

This page is intentionally left blank

**LOCATION:** 54-60 Trinity Road, London, N2 8JJ  
**REFERENCE:** F/01032/13 **Received:** 11 March 2013  
**Accepted:** 20 March 2013  
**WARD(S):** East Finchley **Expiry:** 15 May 2013 **AGENDA ITEM 8**

**Final Revisions:**

**APPLICANT:** Mr Singh

**PROPOSAL:** Alterations to roof including raising of the existing roof level to create additional accommodation and storage within the loft space of flats 58 and 60. Insertion of 2no. front rooflights , 2no. rear roof-lights and 1no. side dormer to the roof of No. 60.

**RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:  
Site Location Plan;13/2724/1- Site Location Plan; 13/2724/3- Existing Elevations; 13/2427/4- Ground and First Floor; 13/2724/5- Loft and Roof Plan; 13/2724/6- Proposed Elevations; 13/2724/7- Existing Loft Plan; Design and Access Statement

Reason:

For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning and so as to ensure that the development is carried out fully in accordance with the plans as assessed in accordance with policies DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2012) and CS NPPF and CS1 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy DPD (2012).

2. This development must be begun within three years from the date of this permission.

Reason:

To comply with Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004.

3. The materials to be used in the external surfaces of the building(s) shall match those used in the existing building(s).

Reason:

To safeguard the visual amenities of the building and surrounding area in accordance with policies DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2012), CS NPPF and CS1 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy DPD (2012).

4. Before the building hereby permitted is occupied the proposed window(s) in the side elevation shall be glazed with obscure glass only and shall be permanently retained as such thereafter and shall be permanently fixed shut with only a fanlight opening.

Reason:

To safeguard the privacy and amenities of occupiers of adjoining residential properties in accordance with policy DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2012).

## **INFORMATIVE(S):**

1. The reasons for this grant of planning permission or other planning related decision are as follows: -

- i) The proposed development accords with strategic planning guidance and policies as set out in The Mayor's London Plan: July 2011 and the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy (2012) and Development Management Policies DPD (2012).

In particular the following policies are relevant:

Core Strategy (Adopted) 2012:  
CS NPPF, CS1, CS5

Development Management Policies (Adopted) 2012:  
DM01, DM02

- ii) The proposal is acceptable for the following reason(s): -

Having taken all material considerations into account, it is considered that subject to compliance with the attached conditions, this proposal complies with the Adopted Barnet Local Plan policies and would be in keeping with the character and appearance of the surrounding area. It is not considered to have a detrimental impact on the residential amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

- iii) In accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the NPPF, the Council takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals, focused on solutions. The Local Planning Authority has produced planning policies and written guidance to guide applicants when submitting applications. These are all available on the Council's website. A pre-application advice service is also offered. The Local Planning Authority has negotiated with the applicant / agent where necessary during the application process to ensure that the proposed development is in accordance with the Council's relevant policies and guidance.

## **1. MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

### National Planning Policy Framework:

The determination of planning applications is made mindful of Central Government advice and the Local Plan for the area. It is recognised that Local Planning Authorities must determine applications in accordance with the statutory Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise, and that the planning system does not exist to protect the private interests of one person against another.

The 'National Planning Policy Framework' (NPPF) was published on 27 March 2012. This is a key part of the Governments reforms to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, and to promote sustainable growth.

The London Plan is recognised in the NPPF as part of the development plan.

The NPPF states that "good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people."

NPPF retains presumption in favour of sustainable development. This applies unless any adverse impacts of a development would "significantly and demonstrably" outweigh the benefits.

#### The Mayor's London Plan July 2011:

The London Development Plan is the overall strategic plan for London, and it sets out a fully integrated economic, environmental, transport and social framework for the development of the capital to 2031. It forms part of the development plan for Greater London.

The London Plan provides a unified framework for strategies that are designed to ensure that all Londoners benefit from sustainable improvements to their quality of life.

#### Core Strategy Policies 2012: CSNPPF, CS1

#### Development Management Policies 2012: DM01, DM02.

#### Supplementary Planning Documents and Guidance

The Council has adopted two supporting planning documents to implement the Core Strategy and Development Management Policies DPDs. These are now material considerations. The Residential Design Guidance SPD (2013) and Sustainable Design and Construction SPD (2013) are now material considerations.

#### Relevant Planning History:

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| <b>Site Address:</b>         | 54-60 Trinity Road London N2 8JJ  |
| <b>Application Number:</b>   | F/01240/12  |
| <b>Application Type:</b>     | Full Application  |
| <b>Decision:</b>             | Refused   |
| <b>Decision Date:</b>        | 22/03/2012  |
| <b>Appeal Decision:</b>      | Dismissed   |
| <b>Appeal Decision Date:</b> | 20 February 2013  |
| <b>Proposal:</b>             | Hip to gable and raising of the existing roof of 56-60 to create additional accommodation and storage within the loft space. Formation of a rear dormer and insertion of front rooflights to the roof of No. 60 |

#### Consultations and Views Expressed:

Neighbours Consulted: 37      Replies: 8  
Neighbours Wishing To Speak: 4

The objections raised may be summarised as follows:

- Overdevelopment
- Impact on parking and highway safety
- Loss of light and privacy
- Overlooking, shadowing and enclosure by increasing bulk.
- Pollution and noise
- Scale and appearance
- Loss of privacy
- Location of proposed windows will directly influence privacy.
- Overwhelm properties on the street.
- Out-of-character
- Alter appearance of street.
- Large obtrusive dormer
- Applicant has made no effort to contact the local residents and there are only negative implications for the local community.
- Increased number of residents in the small area of Trinity Road.

Date of Site Notice: 04 April 2013

## **2. PLANNING APPRAISAL**

### Site Description and Surroundings:

The application site is a semi-detached property in the East Finchley ward and is outside any of the Borough's Conservation Areas. The application site is a maisonette, containing four self contained flats. Trinity Road is part of a residential street where a number of properties have had alterations. The application site sits on a bend and immediate neighbouring properties are set at an angle.

### Proposal:

The application is a resubmission following the refusal of a previous application. The current application proposes the replacement of the existing roof. The replacement roof would incorporate a dormer window on its northern elevation. The proposal would facilitate the conversion of the loft space in order to provide a bedroom and ensuite bathroom.

The replacement roof would retain the existing hipped design and eaves height but would alter the pitch of the roof to accommodate a raised roof height. The roof would rise in height from approximately 2.8 to 4 metres tall. The proposal would also involve the insertion of two roof lights into the eastern and two into the western elevations of the property.

The proposed dormer would measure approximately 2.3 metres in width, 1.7 metres in depth and 2.7 metres in height.

### Planning Considerations:

The main issue in this case are considered to be covered under two main areas:

- The living conditions of neighbouring residents and whether the proposal would overcome the previous reason for refusal.

- Whether harm would be caused to the character and appearance of the area and street scene, having regard to the size and siting of the proposal and whether the proposal would overcome the previous reason for refusal.

Policy DM01 of the Development Management Policies (Adopted) 2012 states that all development should represent high quality design and should be designed to allow for adequate daylight, sunlight, privacy and outlook for adjoining occupiers.

Policy DM02 states that where appropriate, development will be expected to demonstrate compliance to minimum amenity standards and make a positive contribution to the borough. The development standards set out in Policy DM02 are regarded as key for Barnet to deliver the highest standards of urban design.

The living conditions of neighbouring residents and whether the proposal would overcome the previous reason for refusal.

The impact of the proposal on the residential amenity of neighbouring dwellings is considered in terms of the impact of the proposal on access to light, overlooking and whether the proposal would have an overbearing impact.

A previous application was refused and dismissed at appeal. The planning inspector raised concerns with regard to the impact of the proposal on the amenity of the neighbouring property at 62 Trinity Road. No. 62 Trinity Road is a single storey dwelling and is located to the north - west of the site and is on lower ground than the application site. In determining the previous application the Inspector stated that "the increase in both ridge and eaves heights, the change from hip to gable and the dormer window would exacerbate this situation, by increasing the overall bulk of the building resulting in an overbearing effect on the occupiers both inside and outside on the patio. The dormer would also add to the sense of a loss of privacy".

The applicant has submitted a supporting statement which states that the application differs from the previous proposal as the rear dormer has been removed and the hip end design of the roof has been retained. The eaves level remains as existing and the ridge level of the proposal has been reduced by 600mm.

In this instance the proposal would result in an increase in the overall height of the roof, through a higher pitch. However, the proposal would retain the hipped roof design and the eaves of the roof would remain the same as the existing roof. Whilst there would be a dormer window on the northern elevation of the property, the overall scale and bulk of the extensions would ensure that any additional bulk to the roof would be minimised, particularly through the fact that the pitch of the roof would be set further away from the neighbouring property at 62 Trinity Road, albeit with the presence of a dormer window on this elevation. This dormer should be obscure glazed.

Given these considerations, the proposal is not considered to have an adverse impact on the residential and visual amenities of the neighbouring occupiers and would overcome the previous reason for refusal relating to the impact of the proposal on the amenity of the occupiers at number 62 Trinity Road.

Whether harm would be caused to the character and appearance of the area and street scene, having regard to the size and siting of the proposal.

The area surrounding the application property is characterised by the presence of properties with a mixture of roof designs. The immediate neighbouring properties at No's. 50 & 52 Trinity Road are a pair of semi-detached properties which feature hip to gable roofs and roof lights on their front elevations. The presence of alterations and extensions in the roof of properties is therefore not uncommon.

The proposal would result in an increase in the overall height of the roof, through a higher pitch. It would also involve the insertion of a dormer window into the northern elevation of the property and the insertion of rooflights.

In terms of the design of the proposal, it is considered that the proposal would retain the hipped roof design and the eaves of the roof would remain the same as the existing roof. Whilst there would be a dormer window on the northern elevation of the property, this would remain subordinate in scale to the original property and would sit well within the proposed roof. Furthermore, the proposed roof lights are small in nature and would have a minimal impact on the character of the area.

Turning to the overall scale of the proposal, it is considered that given the context of properties in the surrounding area and the existing form of the property, subject to the inclusion of matching materials being conditioned in the event of approval, the proposal would not be detrimental to the character and appearance of the property or surrounding area to an extent that would warrant the refusal of the application on these grounds.

### **3. COMMENTS ON GROUNDS OF OBJECTIONS**

#### Impact on parking and highway safety

The proposal would result in additional habitable space within the property but the proposal does not relate to the creation of an additional unit.

Concerns were raised by residents that there is a lack of access through the street for emergency services and the proposal would exacerbate this. However, in this instance the scale of the proposed development is not considered to warrant the refusal of the application on the grounds that no additional parking is provided.

#### Pollution and noise

Concerns were raised that the proposed construction process would impact adversely on the amenity of neighbours. However, given the scale of the proposed development, this is not considered harm the amenity of neighbours to an extent that would warrant the need for a construction method statement being submitted and agreed.

The other planning related concerns raised on this application have been addressed in the considerations above.

### **4. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY ISSUES**

The proposals do not conflict with either Barnet Council's Equalities Policy or the commitments set in our Equality Scheme and supports the council in meeting its statutory equality responsibilities.




## 5. CONCLUSION

Having taken all material considerations into account, the proposal would sufficiently maintain the character and appearance of the property and surrounding area. The proposal would also maintain the residential amenity of neighbouring dwellings and would overcome the previous reason for refusal. This application is therefore recommended for **APPROVAL**.

**SITE LOCATION PLAN:** 54-60 Trinity Road, London, N2 8JJ

**REFERENCE:** F/01032/13



SITE PLAN

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number LA100017674.